

Instructions to evaluators:

- **Evaluation documentation**, all parts should be taken into consideration when carrying out the evaluation, and consist of:
 - Call text
 - Proposal (pdf in the email)
 - Assessment Criteria which can be found under (this document)
 - Fram Centre Strategy her
- **What to do** - please answer each of the questions 1-5 to the best of your ability we expect you review should not be longer than 2 sides of A4.

Q1. Will this proposal contribute to achieving the goals AI call text?

The Fram Centre has a great potential to use AI capabilities within the cooperation. Areas for cooperation within AI encompassing projects which can be financed in this call are those that fall within the Fram Centre's mandate, which is to contribute with research-based knowledge that makes Norway the best manager of the northern environment and natural- and cultural resources. It is expected that through a combination of competence, infrastructure and unique data access the Fram Centre could achieve a pivotal position regarding Norwegian and international high north environmental research and the use of AI. Projects financed through this call are expected to contribute to this goal.

The call is for research projects and other actions which clearly demonstrate the use or development of AI to further the goals ([the complete strategi can be found here](#)) of the Fram Centre research cooperation. That is, research that addresses the challenges in the High North, both regarding environmental and social consequences. Interdisciplinarity and collaboration are essential for all Fram Centre projects, but for these short AI projects the collaboration aspect is emphasized.

Q2. Can you highlight some strengths of the proposal?

Q3. Can you highlight some weaknesses of the proposal?

Q4. Can you suggest areas for improvement?

Q5. Excellence, Impact and Implementation

We expect a short paragraph under each of the following headings, the text in the box underneath the headings are the points we would expect to be addressed to a greater or lesser degree. An important element is how the activity contributes to cooperation within the Fram Center which will in turn contribute to achieve the Fram Centres goals.

Q5.1. Excellence (score out of 5)

The extent to which the proposed work is ambitious, novel, and goes beyond the state-of-the-art

- *Scientific creativity and originality.*
- *Novelty and boldness of hypotheses or research questions.*
- *Potential for development of new knowledge beyond the current state-of-the-art, including significant theoretical, methodological, experimental or empirical advancement.*

The quality of the proposed R&D activities

- *Quality of the research questions, hypotheses and project objectives, and the extent to which they are clearly and adequately specified.*
- *Credibility and appropriateness of the theoretical approach, research design and use of scientific methods. Appropriate consideration of interdisciplinary approaches.*
- *The extent to which appropriate consideration has been given to ethical issues, safety issues, gender dimension in research content, and use of stakeholder/user knowledge if appropriate.*

Q5.2. Impact(score out of 5)

Potential impact of the proposed research

- *Contribution to strengthening and furthering cooperation within the Fram Center which will further our goals*
- *The extent the planned outputs will be useful for the management of the Norwegian High North*

Communication and exploitation

- *Quality and scope of communication and engagement activities with different target audiences, including relevant stakeholders/users.*

Q5.3. Implementation(score out of 5)

The quality of the project manager and project group

- *The extent to which the project manager and project leader group has relevant expertise and experience to perform the research as described.*
- *The degree of complementarity of the participants and the extent to which the project group has the necessary expertise needed to undertake the research effectively.*

The quality of the project organisation and management

- *Effectiveness of the project organisation, including the extent to which resources assigned to work packages are aligned with project objectives and deliverables.*
- *Appropriateness of the allocation of tasks, ensuring that all participants have a valid role and adequate resources in the project to fulfil that role.*
- *Appropriateness of the proposed management structures and governance.*
- *Is the suggested budget realistic?*

Interpretation of the score:

- **0–** The **proposal fails to address the criterion** or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.
- **1– Poor.** The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.
- **2– Fair.** The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.
- **3– Good.** The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.
- **4– Very good.** The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.
- **5– Excellent.** The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor. (ref. disse beskrivelser EU)

Section	(Score out of 5)
Call priorities	
Excellence	
Impact	
Implementation	
total	